

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/734,392	MARSDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Grosz	3673

**All Participants:**

(1) Alexander Grosz.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) MR. REZEK: MR. BAILEY

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 5/24/04 9/15/04

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*No Rej*

Claims discussed:

*5 j 26*

Prior art documents discussed:

*No P.A.D.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*MR. REZEK APPROVED THE MINOR CHARGES OF THE ATTACHED EXAM AMDT. MR. BAILEY APPROVED CLARIFYING CHARGES TO CLAIMS*

*Part III. 26 AND 28*

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)